Vol. 220: 1–12, 2001

Structure and dynamics of demersal assemblages on the continental shelf and upper slope off Ghana, West Africa

Kwame A. Koranteng*

Marine Fisheries Research Division, PO Box BT-62, Tema, Ghana

ABSTRACT: Using two-way indicator species analysis and detrended correspondence analysis, species on the continental shelf and upper slope of Ghana were classified into 6 assemblages. The structure of the assemblages is determined primarily by depth and type of sediment on the seabed. There are clear faunal discontinuities around 30–40, 100 and 200 m depth. The dynamics of the assemblages are influenced by physico-chemical parameters of the water masses, mainly temperature, salinity and dissolved oxygen, which are periodically modified by the seasonal coastal upwelling that occurs in the area. The observed changes in the composition and relative importance of species in the assemblages can be related to increased fishing activity and environmental forcing.

KEY WORDS: Species assemblages · Structure and dynamics · Continental shelf and slope · Ghana

Resale or republication not permitted without written consent of the publisher -

INTRODUCTION

In fisheries, defining the aggregation of species in the ecosystem is the basis for managing species by the management unit approach (Tyler et al. 1982). Caddy & Sharp (1986) also pointed out that such studies are necessary to gain a better understanding of multispecies stocks and for the management of these stocks. For such reasons, the structure of species assemblages for several exploited fish stocks around the world has been established. Examples are in the Narragansett Bay, USA (Oviatt & Nixon 1973), Scotian shelf, Canada's Atlantic coast (Mahon 1985), southern Gulf of Mexico (Yáñez-Arancibia et al. 1985), Gulf of Carpentaria, Australia (Blaber et al. 1994), Congo, Gabon and Angola in south-west Africa (Bianchi 1992), and the North-western Indian Ocean and East Africa (Bianchi 1992). In the Gulf of Guinea, West Africa, Fager & Longhurst (1968) and Longhurst (1969) established the assemblage structure of demersal species on the continental shelf using data from the Guinean Trawling Survey (Williams 1968).

In the last 3 decades, significant changes have occurred in the biological and physical components of the Gulf of Guinea marine ecosystem and in nearshore forcing factors that could have an effect on species aggregations in the sub-region (Koranteng 1998). The most important recorded changes are the decline and subsequent recovery of the round sardinella Sardinella aurita populations (Pezennec 1995), the proliferation and subsequent decline of triggerfish Balistes capriscus (Ansa-Emmin 1979, Koranteng 1984, Caverivière 1991) and the increase in abundance of cuttlefish Sepia officinalis and globefish Lagocephalus laevigatus (Ramos et al. 1990, Koranteng 1998). Studies of fish communities have shown that some natural and anthropogenic factors could induce changes in the structure of species assemblages and affect the general wellbeing of fishery resources.

The objectives of this paper are to: (1) establish assemblage units of demersal fish species on the continental shelf and upper slope off Ghana; (2) establish the factors that determine the structure of these assem-

^{*}E-mail: kwamek@africaonline.com.gh

blages; and, (3) assess the impact of environmental forcing and of fishing on the structure and dynamics of the assemblages.

Study area. The study area is the continental shelf and upper slope off Ghana, West Africa (Fig. 1). The coastline of Ghana, measuring about 536 km long, stretches from longitude 3° 06' W to 1° 10' E and lies between latitudes 4° 30' and 6° 6' N. The continental shelf varies in width between ~13 and 80 km (Williams 1968, Koranteng 1998), with the widest point in the middle. The shelf usually drops sharply just after the 75 m depth contour. The area of the continental shelf to the 200 m depth contour is 23 700 km² (Koranteng 1984).

The ocean floor on the continental shelf off Ghana has distinct areas of mud, hard rocks and mixed deposits. There is a belt of soft, muddy substrate in shallow waters down to ~30 m depth, followed by a wide area of mixed to hard bottom type (Fig. 1, Williams 1968). Generally, the area beyond 75 m depth is not safe for trawling, except towards the western side (Rijavec 1980, Koranteng 1984) where vessels can trawl in waters deeper than 100 m.

Situated in the western Gulf of Guinea, a subsystem of the Guinea Current Large Marine Ecosystem (Binet & Marchal 1993, Sherman 1993), the continental shelf waters off Ghana experience 2 seasonal periods of coastal upwelling (major and minor) each year, with differing duration and intensities. During the upwelling, sea surface temperature (SST) drops, surface salinity increases, and dissolved oxygen decreases (Mensah & Koranteng 1988). The major upwelling (long cold season) occurs between July and September when SST (usually ~27 to 29°C) falls below 25°C. The minor upwelling (short cold season) normally lasts for only about 3 wk (occurring anytime between December and March). In between the cold seasons are warm seasons during which SST is relatively high and a strong thermocline is formed in continental shelf waters.

Koranteng (1998) showed that the period between 1963 and 1992 could be broken down into 3 climatic periods, each of which had distinct environmental characteristics in the Gulf of Guinea. In the first period (i.e. before 1972), sea temperatures (surface and bottom) were relatively high, salinity was low and the thermocline was below its long-term average depth. Between 1972 and 1982 (the second climatic period), there was a global decline in sea temperatures and a rise in salinity. In the final period, temperatures were high and salinity was low and variable.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Trawl surveys. Between 1956 and 1992, a number of bottom trawl surveys of the demersal fishery resources on the continental shelf and upper slope off Ghana were conducted (Koranteng 1998). The Guinean Trawling Survey (GTS), organised by the Scientific, Technical and Research Commission of the Organisation of African Unity (OAU/STRC) in 1963/4 (Williams 1968), was the first survey that covered the entire continental shelf of Ghana. From 1969 the Marine Fisheries Research Division (MFRD) of Ghana also conducted a number of bottom trawl surveys in Ghana's marine waters.

The data sets from the GTS (1963/4) and MFRD surveys of 1981/2 (MFRD3) and 1989 (MFRD5) are used in this study. The GTS was conducted during the first climatic period described above, MFRD3 and MFRD5



Fig. 1. The Gulf of Guinea, West Africa (inset), showing area surveyed off Ghana. Enlargement shows distribution of bottom sediments and location of hauls in Guinean Trawling Survey (GTS)

were conducted during the second and third climatic periods respectively. The 2 MFRD surveys were similar in terms of spatial and temporal coverage and in survey methods. The GTS was the only survey of similar spatial coverage conducted in Ghanaian waters during the first climatic period.

GTS was in 2 phases; GUINEAN I and GUINEAN II. Off the coast of Ghana, GUINEAN I was in September 1963 and GUINEAN II was in March 1964. Two identical vessels each measuring 35 m long and having a gross registered tonnage of 200 were used. A trawling speed of 6.5 km h^{-1} was maintained and the survey net had a wing spread (distance between the tips of the net during trawling) of 16.4 m and codend mesh size of 40 mm. Trawl hauls were taken according to a systematic sampling design that covered 7 transects (each laid perpendicular to the coastline) that were placed about 64 km apart. There were 8 sampling stations on each transect located at 15–20, 30, 40, 50, 70–75, 100, 200 and 400–600 m depth.

On reaching the sampling station the net was shot at the pre-determined depth and a trawling time of 1 h was maintained. After hauling in the net, the catch was sorted according to species. In some cases the specimen could only be identified to genus level. The total weight and number of each species in the catch were obtained by direct weighing and counting or estimated from sub-samples when the catch was large.

In the MFRD surveys, a stratified semi-random sampling design was used. In this design, the shelf was divided into 3 zones, 9 strata and 10 sectors, resulting in 40 trawl stations (Koranteng 1984). The depth range was between 10 and 75 m. The survey area forms about 78% of the total shelf area of Ghana (Williams 1968). A few hauls were taken in the 75 to 100 m depth zone. In the MFRD surveys, all stations were sampled during the upwelling periods (Jan, Jul/Aug) and also during the stratified periods (Apr/May, Oct/Nov). The sampling procedures were as those used in the GTS survey except that within the confines of a station, the depth and trawling direction were chosen at random and the duration of tow was 30 min. The catch was sorted and identified according to keys provided by Blache et al. (1970) and Fischer et al. (1981). The weight and number of each species were determined as described above for the GTS survey. The same vessel (29 m multipurpose research vessel, trawling speed 5.6 km h^{-1}) was used. The survey net also had a codend mesh of 40 mm and a wing spread of 16.4 m.

Trawl data. 91 hauls from the GTS (64 in waters shallower than 75 m), 70 hauls from MFRD3, and 72 hauls from MFRD5 were included in the analyses. All catch data were input to the NAN-SIS computer program for trawl survey data logging and analysis (Stromme 1992); these were extrapolated to catch-per-hour traw-

ling. All fish names were cross-checked with entries in FISHBASE (FishBase 1998).

Environmental data. At the beginning or end of every haul, water temperature was obtained from thermometers mounted on Nansen reversing bottles, which were also used to collect water samples for analysis in the laboratory. Salinity and dissolved oxygen were determined from the water samples using a salinometer and Winkler titration method respectively. In the MFRD surveys, sea surface temperature was also recorded with a continuous temperature recorder mounted on the survey vessel.

The hydrographic variables used in the analysis are water temperature, salinity, and dissolved oxygen measured at depths trawled. Other environmental variables are the depth sampled and type of bottom sediment at the stations trawled. Bottom type information was obtained from sediment maps produced during GTS and also from Ramos et al. (1990). These were classified as follows: Hard (predominantly sand, shell, rock, gravel, grit or coral); Soft (predominantly mud); and, Mixed (combination of hard and soft).

Data analysis. A Two-way Indicator Analysis (TWIA) method implemented by TWINSPAN (Hill 1979) was used to obtain the species groupings in the trawl survey data. In the TWIA method, a classification of the samples is first constructed. This is then used to obtain a classification of the species according to their ecological preferences. The 2 classifications are then used together to obtain an ordered 2-way table that expresses the species' synecological relations as succinctly as possible (Hill 1979). Stations and species are then arranged along the major gradients in the data. The number of sub-divisions of the data is determined inter alia by the length of the gradient, the size of the eigenvalues obtained from the ordination and presence of suitable indicator species which are representative of the groups.

TWINSPAN uses a divisive cluster analysis algorithm to classify the samples and correspondence analysis (CA) to perform the ordination. The importance values (weights) are converted to a scale based on lower class limits before being used in the analysis. For this work, the class limits were 0, 0.5, 5, 50 and 500 kg.

A further ordination of the data was performed using Detrended Correspondence Analysis (DCA, Hill & Gauch 1980). DCA is a modification of the method of correspondence analysis (CA) and is intended to remove the 2 defects of CA, namely the 'arch effect' and compression of the ends of the first ordination axis (Gauch 1994). The DCA routine contained in CANO-CO (ter Braak 1991), a community ecology computer program, was used in this work. Weights of the catches were used for the analysis (Bianchi & Hoisæter 1992).



Each weight (x) was converted to log (x + 1) in order to stabilize the variance, as a Gaussian relationship between species abundance and each environmental variable was assumed. The environmental variables included in the analysis were bottom temperature, salinity, and dissolved oxygen. Also included were depth sampled and type of sediment. The 3 bottom types were treated as 3 levels of 1 nominal variable (bottom type). The CANOCO program package also correlates the ordination axes with the environmental variables. The significance of each correlation was assessed with a Student's *t*-statistic.

The GTS cruises were analysed first. Using the results of TWINSPAN to label the sites/hauls, the DCA scores (from CANOCO) were plotted using the drawing tools available in the CANODRAW computer program (Smilauer 1992). The MFRD3 and

MFRD5 data were similarly analysed. For the results to be comparable, the GTS data were re-analysed using only hauls made between 10 and 75 m depth.

The most important species in each assemblage were obtained using an index of relative importance (IRI) defined as:

Survey	Variable	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	
GTS	No. of stations Hard	16	25 0.4	9	
	Mixed Soft Depth (m) Temperature (°C) Salinity (%)	$0.4 \\ 0.6 \\ 28 (8) \\ 20.8 (1.0) \\ 25 85 (0.00)$	0.6 37 (10) 19.8 (1.3) 25 82 (0.12)	$0.4 \\ 0.6 \\ 49 (10) \\ 19.4 (1.2) \\ 25 88 (0.00)$	
	Oxygen (ml l^{-1})	3.13 (0.74)	2.98 (0.62)	2.44 (0.70)	
	Indicator species	Serlene dorsalis Galeoides decadactylus Acanthostracion guineensis	Balistes forcipatus Aluterus punctatus	Penaeus notialis Brachydeuterus auritus	
	Other important species	Brachydeuterus auritus Ilisha africana Pseudotolithus senegalensis	Pagellus bellottii Brachydeuterus auris 5 Trachurus spp.	Pagellus bellottii us Trachurus spp. Raja miraletus	
		Group 4	Group 5	Group 6	
GTS	No. of stations Hard	26 0.3	11	4	
	Mixed	0.6	0.7	0.8	
	Soft Depth (m) Temperature (°C) Salinity (‰) Oxygen (ml l ⁻¹)	0.1 87 (32) 17.5 (1.3) 35.74 (0.09) 2.74 (0.54)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3\\ 217 \ (43)\\ 14.0 \ (1.4)\\ 35.41 \ (0.15)\\ 2.23 \ (0.54) \end{array}$	0.2 411 (23) 11.0 (3.0) 35.37 (0.46) 2.07 (0.65)	
	Indicator species	Dentex congoensis Boops boops Squatina oculata		Hymenocephalus italicus	
	Other important species	Trachurus spp. F Scomber japonicus T Ilisha africana L	Paracubiceps ledanoisi Trachurus spp. .oligo sp.	Squalus fernandus Centrophorus uyato Hypoclydonia bella	

Table 1. Community environmental parameters, mean (SD), and indicator species

Survey	Variable	Species Axis 1	Species Axis 2	Survey	Variable	Species Axis 1	Species Axis 2
GTS (all hauls)	Hard Mixed Soft Depth Temperature Salinity Oxygen Eigenvalues	-0.13 0.13 -0.02 0.95** -0.81** -0.64** -0.34** 0.68	-0.29** -0.14 0.43** 0.01 0.10 -0.01 0.11 0.50	MFRD3	Hard Mixed Soft Depth Temperature Salinity Oxygen Eigenvalues	-0.49*** 0.30** 0.29** 0.23* -0.02 0.13 0.40	0.09 0.01 0.13 0.79*** -0.65*** 0.28 -0.54*** 0.34
GTS (hauls at ≤ 75 m) r significant a	Hard Mixed Soft Depth Temperature Salinity Oxygen Eigenvalues t: (*) p < 0.05; (**)	-0.31** -0.11 0.44** -0.51*** 0.47*** 0.18* 0.21* 0.54 p < 0.01; (***)	$\begin{array}{c} -0.02 \\ -0.29^{*} \\ 0.37^{**} \\ -0.71^{***} \\ -0.47^{***} \\ -0.07 \\ -0.19 \\ 0.40 \end{array}$	MFRD5	Hard Mixed Soft Depth Temperature Salinity Oxygen Eigenvalues	-0.29** 0.16 0.20* -0.42**** 0.18 0.08 -0.02 0.46	-0.30** 0.12 0.26** 0.60*** -0.54*** -0.07 -0.47*** 0.36

Table 2. Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient r of species axes 1-4 with bottom environmental variables

$IRI = \%W \times \%F$

where %W is the percentage contribution by weight of each species in the assemblage and %F is the percentage of the number of times that the species occurred in hauls from the assemblage. This index was modified from that of Pinkas et al. (1971). Species with IRI values \geq 50 in each assemblage were included in a short list of the most important species of the assemblage.

Seasonal (upwelling, stratified) and long-term changes in the assemblages were investigated. For each survey and assemblage, a list of the most important species for the upwelling and stratified seasons was compiled. Thus the species that were present mainly during the upwelling period, those present in the stratified period and those that were regularly present in the assemblage ('residents') were determined.

Similarities in the composition of the various assemblages were assessed using the 'Jaccard Index' Sj (Southwood 1988) and the 'Similarity Ratio' Sr (van Tongeren 1995). For 2 sampled sites (1 and 2), Sj is calculated as:

$$Sj = \frac{c}{A+B-c}$$

where *c* is the number of species common to both sites, and A and B are the total number of species at the first and second sites respectively. To be adapted for use in this work, all stations in each assemblage were grouped and the assemblage treated as a 'site'. Following the notation of van Tongeren (1995), the Similarity Ratio for the comparison of 2 sites (i and j) is calculated from:

$$Sr_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{k} y_{ki} y_{kj}}{\sum_{k} y_{ki}^{2} + \sum_{k} y_{kj}^{2} - \sum_{k} y_{ki} y_{k}}$$

where y_{ki} is the abundance of the k^{th} species at site i, y_{kj} is its abundance at site j, and $y_{ki}y_{kj}$ is the product of the abundance of the k^{th} species occurring at both sites. *Sr* is basically a quantitative equivalent of *Sj* (van Tongeren 1995).

RESULTS

Six groups of stations were identified from the GTS data; salient properties of the 6 groups are presented in Table 1. The table gives the number of trawl stations that make up the group, average values of each environmental parameter, the indicator species and some of the other important species of each assemblage. Each level of the nominal variable (bottom type) was scaled from 0 to 1, where 0 denotes non-existence and 1 is a predominance of the type of bottom. Table 2 gives the Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient of the first 2 axes with temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, depth and bottom type. The significance of each correlation is indicated. The table also gives corresponding information for the subset of GTS data and the 2 MFRD surveys.

An example of the CANODRAW bi-plots of sites and environmental parameters in the DCA axis 1 against DCA axis 2 plane for the complete GTS data is given in Fig. 2. Hauls in the same group (i.e. assemblage) are indicated by the same symbol and enclosed in an ellipse. To be able to compare the plots for the various Table 3. Total weight (W, kg), percentage weight (%W), and frequency of occurrence (F, no. of stations) of main species in each group of stations; Guinean Trawling Survey, 1963–64

	Species	W (kg)	% W	F	Species	W (kg)	% W	F
Constraint 2616 34.2 15 Constraint Trachurus sp. 314.5 18.7 24.7 13.3 24.7 Serhens survitus 565 7.4 11 Sormber ipporture 2237 13.3 24.7 Bishba atricana 620 8.1 7 Pagedus beliotti 1179 70.2 24.1 Bishba atricana 620 8.1 7 Pagelus beliotti 1179 70.2 24.1 Sparts convelosititus senegatus 533 31 10 Sordinella aurita 1370 8.2 10 Drepand actricana 610 3.6 Dentex apolensis 600 pb boops 529 3.3 6 Dentex spibelini 100 1.3 8 Total 16812 8 11 11 19 Peroscion peli 132 1.7 6 Total (all stations) 16812 8 12 12 12 12 12 13 14 14 14 14 14 14	Group 1 (16 stations)				Group 4 (26 stations)			
Service sorsalis 544 7.1 13 Dentex congressis 223 13.3 24 Galeoides decadertyus 566 7.7 10 Prevendotolithus senergalensis 566 7.7 10 Prevendotolithus senergalensis 560 7.7 10 Prevendotolithus senergalensis 220 13.3 22 Pereudotolithus senergalensis 323 3.1 10 Prevendotolithus senergalensis 820 4.9 221 Pagelius bellotti 132 2.5 9 Boops boops 529 3.1 21 Raja mizalotus 149 2.0 12 Epinephelius aencus 550 3.3 19 Prevescion peli 132 1.7 6 Total 16812 16 Coroup 2 (2 Stations) 764 6.4 24 Trachurus spp. 1188 20.0 4 Prevescion peli 1324 12.0 17 Trachurus spp. 1188 20.0 4 Antionia capros 746 6.4 24 10	Brachvdeuterus auritus	2616	34.2	15	Trachurus sp.	3145	18.7	24
Galcaides decadacytus 565 7.4 11 Scomber japonicus 223 13.5 22 Pseudotolitus senequests 560 8.1 7 Pagolus bellotii 11.9 7.2 24 Hisha africana 520 8.1 7 Pagolus bellotii 11.9 7.2 24 Japarta enticana 520 8.1 7 Dentex angolensis 820 4.9 22 Pagolus bellotii 23.5 3.1 10 Sardinella eurita 1370 8.2 10 Drepane dricana 611 3.6 15 Loligo sp. 250 3.3 6 Dentex gibbosus 179 1.1 19 Pomadasy jubelini 100 1.3 8 Total 14 084 83.8 16812 Total 671 85.9 764 10 Trachytes pledanois 167 2.6.4 10 Brachyteiterus auritus 198 12.0 17 Trachytus sp. 1188 200 4 Antig	Serlene sorsalis	544	7.1	13	Dentex congoensis	2237	13.3	24
Pseudotolithus scnedulasis See 7.7 10 Priacanthus arcnatus 12.0 7.2 2.4 Bisha arrivation 31.3 4.1 9 Pagellus bellottii 117.9 7.0 21 Sparus caeruleostictus 31.3 4.1 9 Dentex angolensis 82.0 4.9 22 Pagellus bellottii 123 3.1 10 Dentex angolensis 82.0 4.9 22 Raja mitaletus 149 2.0 12 Epinephelus aeneus 50 3.3 19 Princeino peli 122 1.7 6 Dentex angolensis 179 1.1 19 Paracubiceps ledanoisi 6571 85.9 Total 14084 83.8 1.1 19 Pagellus balottii 192 16.5 2.5 Brachydeuterus auritus 184 12.0 17 Trachurus spp. 118 20.0 4 Total (all species) 7646 12.0 17 Trachurus spp. 118 20.0 7 5	Galeoides decadactylus	565	7.4	11	Scomber japonicus	2263	13.5	22
like a dricana6208.17Pagelus bellottii1134.19 2.0 12Sparts correlostictus3134.19Denty and enticana13708.210Drepane dricana2112.99Boos boops5293.121Raje miraletus1492.012Epinephelus aencus5503.319Trichiurus lepturus1522.010Paracubiceps ledanoisi6013.615Loigo sp.2503.36Dentex gibbosus1791.119Pomadasy jubelini1001.38Total1408483.8Pieroscion peli1321.76Total1408483.8Corop 2 (Statons)766764Total (all stations)16812Pagelus bellottii192616.525Paracubiceps ledanoisi156726.410Trachurus spp.10088.618Antigonia captros4317.37Sparus caruleositicus9466.424Loligo sp.4337.37Peacubupeneus prayensis8555.02.3Pentheroscion mbizi3335.67Sparus caruleositicus9466.424Loligo sp.4357.37Dentex canariensis3523.02.0Chiorophthalmus atlanticus192.07Sardinella aurita392.919Paracubiceps multisquamis881.5	Pseudotolithus senegalensis	s 586	7.7	10	Priacanthus arenatus	1210	7.2	24
Sparus carruleosificius 313 4.1 9 Dantex angolensis 820 4.9 22 Pagellos belotiti 235 3.1 10 Sardinella aurita 1370 8.2 10 Deperane africana 221 2.9 9 Boops boops 529 3.1 21 Raja miraletus 152 2.0 10 Paracubiceps ledanoisi 610 3.6 15 Loligo sp. 250 3.3 6 Dentex angolensis 170 1.1 19 Protocion peli 132 1.7 6 Dentex angolensis 16612 7 Total anetypic 132 1.7 6 Court 14084 83.8 Protocion peli 132 1.7 6 Court 7 7 Pagelus belotiti 1926 16.5 2.5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 </td <td>Ilisha africana</td> <td>620</td> <td>8.1</td> <td>7</td> <td>Pagelus bellottii</td> <td>1179</td> <td>7.0</td> <td>21</td>	Ilisha africana	620	8.1	7	Pagelus bellottii	1179	7.0	21
Pagellus bellottii 235 3.1 10 Sardinella aurita 1370 8.2 10 Drepane articana 221 2.9 9 Boops boops 529 3.1 21 Raja miraletus 149 2.0 12 Epinephelus aeneus 601 3.6 15 Loigo sp. 250 3.3 6 Dentes gibbosus 179 1.1 19 Promadasys jubelini 100 1.3 8 Total 14064 83.8 Total 138 Total 14064 83.8 Carop 2 (25 attoms) 766 7 Tachurus spp. 108 8.6 10 7.3 8 5.6 7 Tachurus spp. 108 8.6 10 Antigonia capros 431 7.3 8 5.7 7 Dentex canariensis 352 3.0 2.0 Chiorophthalmus atlanticus 119 2.0 7 Paracubricops nuitaguamis 9.8<	Sparus caeruleostictus	313	4.1	9	Dentex angolensis	820	4.9	22
Drepane africana 221 2.9 9 Boops boops 529 3.1 21 Raja mizletus 140 2.0 12 Epinephelus aeneus 50 3.3 19 Trichiurus lepturus 152 2.0 10 Paracubiceps ledanois 601 3.6 15 Pomadasys jubelini 100 1.3 8 Total 14084 83.8 Total 6571 85.9 Total 16812 8 Total 1394 12.0 17 7 6 Paracubiceps ledanois 1567 26.4 10 Trachurus spp. 108 8.0 14 7.3 8 Paracubiceps ledanois 1567 26.4 10 7.3 7 Prescubpeneus paryensis 585 5.0 23 Penthoroscio mbizi 333 7.5 7 Pricacanthus aranatus 420 3.6 24 20 7 5 5 7 5 7 7 5	Pagellus bellottii	235	3.1	10	Sardinella aurita	1370	8.2	10
Raja miraletus1492.012Epinephelus aeneus5503.319Trichiurus lepturus1522.010Paracubicers leadnoisi6013.615Loligo sp.2503.36Dentex gibbosus7191.119Pomadasys jubelin1001.38Total1408483.8Total657185.9Total (all stations)168128Total657185.9764777Group 2 (2 stations)77Trachurus spp.118820.04Sparus ceruleosticus7466.424Loligo sp.4357.37Peaudupeneus prayensis5655.023Paracubicers leadnoisi3335.67Peudupeneus prayensis5655.023Pentheroscion mbizi3335.67Peaudupeneus prayensis5623.020Chlorophthalmus atlanticus1192.07Sardinella aurita4223.716Paragaleus pectoralis2543.53Epinephelus aeneus3392.919Paracubices milisquamis881.55Dactyoperus voltans2241.92.3Dentex anglonis1011.74Decapterus sp.3813.33.311Dentex anglonis50147.52Dactyoperus1531.3215577710al50147.5 </td <td>Drepane africana</td> <td>221</td> <td>2.9</td> <td>9</td> <td>Boops boops</td> <td>529</td> <td>3.1</td> <td>21</td>	Drepane africana	221	2.9	9	Boops boops	529	3.1	21
	Raja miraletus	149	2.0	12	Epinephelus aeneus	550	3.3	19
Lologo sp. 2.50 3.3 6 Dentex gibbosus 179 1.1 19 Pomadasys jubelini 100 1.3 8 Total 14004 83.8 Pertoscion peli 132 1.7 6 Total 10404 83.8 Total 6571 85.9 Total 10615 5 Total Total (all stations) 16812 16812 16812 179 Tachurus sp. 1188 20.0 4 179 7.7 7.8 7.9 1188 20.0 4 173 8 2.6 7.3 7 7 7 7.3 8 7.3 7 14 7 3 8 7	Trichiurus lepturus	152	2.0	10	Paracubiceps ledanoisi	601	3.6	15
Promadasys Judenin 100 1.3 6 Total 14004 83.8 Prerescion peli 132 1.7 6 Total Total 14004 83.8 Total 6571 85.9 Total (all stations) 16812 Pagelus bolottii 1926 16.5 25 Paracubiceps ledanois 1567 26.4 10 Pagelus bolottii 1934 12.0 17 Tachurus spp. 1188 20.0 4 Pagelus bolottii 1934 12.0 17 Tachurus spp. 1188 20.0 4 Priacanthus arenatus 403 6 24 Loligo sp. 435 7.3 7 Dentex canariensis 352 3.0 20 Chlorophthahms atlanticus 19 23 55 7 35 7 3 7 5 7 3 7 5 5 7 3 7 5 5 7 3 7 5 5 5 5<	Loligo sp.	250	3.3	6	Dentex gibbosus	179	1.1	19
Period Data Periods and Periods Control 1, 200 1.7 0 Total (all stations) 16812 Total 6571 85.9 - <td>Pomadasys jubelini Dtoroggion poli</td> <td>100</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>8</td> <td>Total</td> <td>14084</td> <td>83.8</td> <td></td>	Pomadasys jubelini Dtoroggion poli	100	1.3	8	Total	14084	83.8	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Epopopholus appous	132	1.7	0	Total (all stations)	16812		
Iotal b571 85.9 Total (all species) 7646 Group 2 (25 stations) Paracubiceps ledanoisi 1567 26.4 10 Pagelus bellottii 1934 12.0 17 Paracubiceps ledanoisi 1567 26.4 10 Sparus caeruleositus 746 6.4 24 Loligo sp. 433 7.3 8 Sparus caeruleositus 746 6.4 24 Loligo sp. 433 7.3 7 Pseudupeneus prayensis 585 5.0 23 Pentheroscion mbia 333 5.6 7 Dentex canariensis 352 3.0 20 Chiorophthalmus atlanticus 119 2.0 7 Sardinella aurita 432 3.7 16 Paracubiceps multisquamis 98 1.7 5 Scomber japonicus 364 3.1 16 Priacanthus arenatus 88 1.5 5 Dactylopterus voltans 241 1.9 23 Dentex congoensis 101 1.7 4		00	1.2	0				
	Total (all species)	6571 7646	85.9					
	Crown 2 (25 stations)	7040			Crown 5 (11 stations)			
Tade in Section13501502016320100163Brachydeuterus auritus13412.017Trachurus spp.118820.04Trachurus spp.10088.618Antigonia capros4317.38Sparus caeruleostictus7466.424Loligo sp.4357.37Pseudupeneus prayensis5855.023Pentheroscion mbizi3335.67Priacanthus arenatus4203.624Smaras macrolepidotus3275.57Dentex canariensis3523.020Chlorophthalmus atlanticus1192.07Sardinella aurita4323.716Paragaleus pectoralis2654.53Epinephelus aeneus3392.919Paracubiceps multisquamis981.75Scomber japonicus3643.116Priacanthus arenatus881.55Dactylopterus volitans2241.923Dentex congoensis1011.74Decapterus sp.3813.311Dentex congoensis661.15Lutjanus fulgens1841.61818Total (all stations)59334.61.15Lutjanus gannes2071.8179Squalus fermandus50147.521Total946781.277Squalus fermandus50147.521 <td< td=""><td>Bagolus bollottii</td><td>1026</td><td>16.5</td><td>25</td><td>Paracubicons Iodanoisi</td><td>1567</td><td>26.4</td><td>10</td></td<>	Bagolus bollottii	1026	16.5	25	Paracubicons Iodanoisi	1567	26.4	10
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Brachydeuterus auritus	1394	12.0	23 17	Trachurus spp	1188	20.4	4
Sparus caeruleostictus 746 6.4 24 Lotigo sp. 435 7.3 7 Pseudupeneus prayensis 585 5.0 23 Pentheroscion mbizi 333 5.6 7 Priacanthus arenatus 420 3.6 24 Smaris macrolepidotus 327 5.5 7 Dentex canariensis 352 3.0 20 Chlorophthalmus atlanticus 119 2.0 7 Sardinella aurita 432 3.7 16 Paragaleus pectoralis 265 4.5 3 Epinephelus aeneus 339 2.9 19 Paracubiceps multisquamis 98 1.7 5 Dactylopterus voltans 224 1.9 23 Dentex angolensis 101 1.7 4 Decapterus sp. 381 3.3 11 Dentex congoensis 66 1.1 5 Loligo sp. 196 1.7 20 134 5 13 1.3 21 Sparus caeruleostorus 11657 Total <t< td=""><td>Trachurus spp.</td><td>1004</td><td>8.6</td><td>18</td><td>Antigonia capros</td><td>431</td><td>7.3</td><td>8</td></t<>	Trachurus spp.	1004	8.6	18	Antigonia capros	431	7.3	8
Pseudupeneus prayensis 585 5.0 23 Pentheroscion mbizi 333 5.6 7 Priacanthus arenatus 420 3.6 24 Smaris macrolepidous 327 5.5 7 Dentex canariensis 352 3.0 20 Chiorophthalmus atlanticus 11 2.0 7 Sardinella aurita 432 3.7 16 Paracgaleus pectoralis 265 4.5 3 Epinephelus aeneus 339 2.9 19 Paracgaleus pectoralis 265 4.5 3 Decatylopterus volitans 224 1.9 23 Dentex angolensis 101 1.7 4 Decatylopterus volitans 207 1.8 17 Total 5019 84.6 1.1 5 Lutjanus agennes 207 1.8 17 Total 5019 84.6 1.6 1.8 Total 501 47.5 2 Sphyraena sp. 230 2.0 1.4 18 1.6 116 11.0	Sparus caeruleostictus	746	6.4	24	Loligo sp.	435	7.3	7
Priacanthus arenatus 420 3.6 24 Smaris macrolepidotus 327 5.5 7 Dentex canariensis 352 3.0 20 Chlorophthalmus atlanticus 119 2.0 7 Sardinella auria 432 3.7 16 Paracubiceps multisquamis 98 1.7 5 Scomber japonicus 364 3.1 16 Priacanthus arenatus 88 1.5 5 Decapterus sp. 381 3.3 11 Dentex congoensis 66 1.1 5 Loligo sp. 196 1.7 20 Total 5019 84.6 1.1 5 Loligo sp. 196 1.7 20 Total 5019 84.6 1.1 5 Loligo sp. 196 1.7 20 Total 5019 84.6 1.1 5 Lutjanus agennes 207 1.8 17 Total 5933 1 1.6 7 Sphyraena sp. 230 2.0 14 18 1.6 116 11.0 2 2 7 Group 6	Pseudupeneus prayensis	585	5.0	23	Pentheroscion mbizi	333	5.6	7
Dentex canariensis 352 3.0 20 Chlorophthalms atlanticus 119 2.0 7 Sardinella aurita 432 3.7 16 Paragaleus pectoralis 265 4.5 3 Epinephelus aeneus 339 2.9 19 Paragaleus pectoralis 265 4.5 3 Scomber japonicus 364 3.1 16 Priacanthus arenatus 88 1.7 5 Dectylpterus volitans 224 1.9 23 Dentex angolensis 101 1.7 4 Decapterus sp. 381 3.3 11 Dentex congoensis 66 1.1 5 Lutjanus digens 163 1.3 21 Sphyraena sp. 230 2.0 14 Acanthurus monroviae 162 1.4 18 16 18 7 14 18 7 2 Total 9467 81.2 - - 501 47.5 2 Brachydeuterus auritus 771 23.1 6 Hypoclydonia bella 116 1.0 2 2 3 2	Priacanthus arenatus	420	3.6	24	Smaris macrolepidotus	327	5.5	7
Sardinella aurita 432 3.7 16 Paragaleus pectoralis 265 4.5 3 Epinephelus aeneus 339 2.9 19 Paracubiceps multisquamis 98 1.7 5 Scomber japonicus 364 3.1 16 Priacanthus arenatus 88 1.5 5 Dactylopterus volitans 224 1.9 23 Dentex angolensis 101 1.7 4 Decapterus sp. 196 1.7 20 Total 5019 84.6 1.1 5 Lutijanus fulgens 184 1.6 18 Total 5019 84.6 1.1 5 Sphyraena sp. 230 2.0 14 18 Total (all stations) 5933 5 5 Total 9467 81.2 7 Total (all stations) 501 47.5 2 Sparus caeruleostictus 569 17.0 9 Squalus fernandus 501 47.5 2 Maja miraletus 16 1.0 2 Centrophorus uyato 134 12.7 1 Raja mira	Dentex canariensis	352	3.0	20	Chlorophthalmus atlanti	<i>cus</i> 119	2.0	7
Epinephelus aeneus 339 2.9 19 Paracubiceps multisquamis 98 1.7 5 Scomber japonicus 364 3.1 16 Priacanthus arenatus 88 1.5 5 Dactylopterus volitans 224 1.9 23 Dentex angolensis 101 1.7 4 Decapterus sp. 381 3.3 11 Dentex angolensis 66 1.1 5 Lutjanus digens 184 1.6 18 Total 5019 84.6 1.6 Lutjanus fulgens 184 1.6 18 Total 5933 5 5 Sphyraena sp. 230 2.0 14 1.4 1.8 1.4 1.8 1.4 1.8 1.4 1.8 1.4 1.8 1.4 1.8 1.4 1.8 1.4 1.8 1.4 1.8 1.4 1.8 1.4 1.8 1.4 1.8 1.4 1.8 1.4 1.9 2.3 1.6 1.4 1.8 1.4 1.6 1.1.0 2 2 1.7 5 1.6 1.4	Sardinella aurita	432	3.7	16	Paragaleus pectoralis	265	4.5	3
Scomber japonicus 364 3.1 16 Priacanthus arenatus 88 1.5 5 Dactylopterus volitans 224 1.9 23 Dentex angolensis 101 1.7 4 Decapterus sp. 381 3.3 11 Dentex congoensis 66 1.1 5 Lutjanus agennes 207 1.8 17 20 Total 5019 84.6 Balistes forcipatus 153 1.3 21 Total 5933 5933 Sphyraena sp. 230 2.0 14 Total 5019 84.6 Lethrinus alanticus 98 0.8 18 Total 501 47.5 2 Total 9467 81.2 Total Squalus fernandus 501 47.5 2 Brachydeuterus auritus 771 23.1 6 Hypoclydonia bella 116 11.0 2 Trachurus spp. 444 13.3 7 Centrophorus uyato 134 12.7 1	Epinephelus aeneus	339	2.9	19	Paracubiceps multisquar	nis 98	1.7	5
Dactylopterus volitans 224 1.9 23 Dentex angolensis 101 1.7 4 Decapterus sp. 381 3.3 11 Dentex angolensis 66 1.1 5 Loligo sp. 196 1.7 20 Total 5019 84.6 1.6 Lutjanus agennes 207 1.8 17 Total 5933 84.6 1.6 1.8 Total 5933 84.6 1.6 1.4 1.6 1.8 Total 1.1 7.0 84.6 1.6 1.4 1.6 1.8 1.6 1.8 1.6 1.8 1.6 1.8 1.6 1.8 1.6 1.8 1.6 1.8 1.6 1.8 1.6 1.8 1.6	Scomber japonicus	364	3.1	16	Priacanthus arenatus	88	1.5	5
Decapterus sp. 381 3.3 11 Dentex congoensis 66 1.1 5 Lotigo sp. 196 1.7 20 Total 5019 84.6 1.1 5 Lutjanus agennes 207 1.8 17 Total 5019 84.6 1.1 5 Balistes forcipatus 153 1.3 21 5 5933 5933 5 5 Sphyraena sp. 230 2.0 14 18 14 18 16 11.0 2 18 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Dactylopterus volitans	224	1.9	23	Dentex angolensis	101	1.7	4
Loligo sp. 196 1.7 20 Total 5019 84.6 Lutjanus dugenes 107 1.8 17 Total (all stations) 5933 Lutjanus fulgens 184 1.6 18 Total (all stations) 5933 Balistes forcipatus 153 1.3 21 Sphyraena sp. 230 2.0 14 Acanthurus monroviae 162 1.4 18 Lethrinus atlanticus 98 0.8 18 Raja miraletus 66 0.6 23 23 24 501 47.5 2 Group 3 (9 stations) Total 9467 81.2 501 47.5 2 Sparus caeruleostictus 569 17.0 9 Squalus fernandus 501 47.5 2 Brachydeuterus auritus 771 23.1 6 24 444 13.3 7 26 Centrophorus uyato 134 12.7 1 Raja miraletus 155 4.6 7 Paracubiceps multisquamis 44 4.2 3 Priacanthus arenatus 124 6	<i>Decapterus</i> sp.	381	3.3	11	Dentex congoensis	66	1.1	5
Lutjanus defines 207 1.8 17 Total (all stations) 5933 Lutjanus fulgens 184 1.6 18 Balistes forcipatus 153 1.3 21 Sphyraena sp. 230 2.0 14 Acanthurus monroviae 162 1.4 18 Lethrinus atlanticus 98 0.8 18 Raja miraletus 66 0.6 23 Total 9467 81.2 - Sparus caeruleostictus 569 17.0 9 Brachydeuterus auritus 771 23.1 6 Trachurus spp. 444 13.3 7 Raja miraletus 155 4.6 7 Priacathus arenatus 124 6 Chlorophtralmus sp. 41 3.9 2 Epinephelus aeneus 81 2.4 6 Chlorophthalmus signatus 31 2.9 2 Sparus caeruleostictus 62 1.9 5 Paracubiceps ledanoisi 32 3.0 1 Lepidotrigla cadmani 59 1.8 4 1	Loligo sp.	196	1.7	20	Total	5019	84.6	
Luganus nugens 104 1.0 10 Balistes forcipatus 153 1.3 21 Sphyraena sp. 230 2.0 14 Acanthurus monroviae 162 1.4 18 Lethrinus atlanticus 98 0.8 18 Raja miraletus 66 0.6 23 Total 9467 81.2 Sparus caeruleostictus 569 17.0 9 Squalus fernandus 501 47.5 2 Mypoclydonia bella 116 11.0 2 Trachurus spp. 444 13.3 7 Centrophorus uyato 134 12.7 1 Raja miraletus 155 4.6 7 Paracubiceps multisquamis 44 4.2 3 Priacanthus arenatus 124 3.7 7 Chlorophthalmus atlanticus 71 6.7 Pseudupeneus prayensis 88 2.6 5 Paracubiceps ledanoisi 32 3.0 1 Lepidotrigla cadmani 59 1.8 4 1.0 5 Penaeus notialis 22 <td< td=""><td>Lutjanus agennes</td><td>207</td><td>1.8</td><td>10</td><td>Total (all stations)</td><td>5933</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Lutjanus agennes	207	1.8	10	Total (all stations)	5933		
Sphyrena sp. 230 2.0 14 Acanthurus monroviae 162 1.4 18 Lethrinus atlanticus 98 0.8 18 Raja miraletus 66 0.6 23 Total 9467 81.2 Total (all species) 11657 501 47.5 2 Group 3 (9 stations) 501 47.5 2 Brachydeuterus auritus 771 23.1 6 Hypoclydonia bella 116 11.0 2 Trachurus spp. 444 13.3 7 Centrophorus uyato 134 12.7 1 Raja miraletus 155 4.6 7 Paracubiceps multisquamis 44 4.2 3 Priacanthus arenatus 124 3.7 7 Chlorophthalmus sp. 41 3.9 2 Epinephelus aeneus 81 2.4 6 Carcharhinus signatus 31 2.9 2 Sparus caeruleostictus 62 1.9 5 Paracubiceps ledanoisi 32 3.0 1 Lepidotrigla cadmani 59 1.8	Balistos forcipatus	104	1.0	10 21				
Deprivation sp.1031314Acanthurus monroviae1621.418Lethrinus atlanticus980.818Raja miraletus660.623Total946781.2Total (all species)11657Group 3 (9 stations) T 9Sparus caeruleostictus56917.09Brachydeuterus auritus77123.16Hypoclydonia bella11611.02Trachurus spp.44413.37Raja miraletus1554.67Priacanthus arenatus1243.77Epinephelus aeneus812.46Sparus caeruleostictus621.95Priacanthus arenatus1243.77Chlorophthalmus sp.413.92Sparus caeruleostictus621.95Lepidotrigla cadmani591.84Loligo sp.320.96Dentex congoensis581.7Sardinella aurita391.2Penaeus notialis220.7Total257677.1Total (all species)3343	Sphyraena sp	230	2.0	14				
Lethrinus atlanticus 98 0.8 11 10 Lethrinus atlanticus 66 0.6 23 Total 9467 81.2 Total (all species) 11657 Group 3 (9 stations) 501 47.5 2 Sparus caeruleostictus 569 17.0 9 Squalus fernandus 501 47.5 2 Brachydeuterus auritus 771 23.1 6 14 16 11.0 2 Trachurus spp. 444 13.3 7 Centrophorus uyato 134 12.7 1 Raja miraletus 155 4.6 7 Paracubiceps multisquamis 44 4.2 3 Priacanthus arenatus 124 3.7 7 Chlorophthalmus sp. 41 3.9 2 Sparus caeruleostictus 62 1.9 5 Paracubiceps multisquamis 31 2.9 2 Sparus caeruleostictus 62 1.9 5 Paracubiceps ledanoisi 32 3.0 1 Lepidotrigla cadmani 59 1.8 4 10 55	Acanthurus monroviae	162	1 4	18				
Raja miraletus660.623Total946781.2Total (all species)11657Group 3 (9 stations)Group 6 (4 stations)Sparus caeruleostictus569977123.1677123.177Raja miraletus1551554.677Priacanthus arenatus1241243.77Chlorophthalmus sp.413.922Sparus caeruleostictus62195882.621.952Sparus caeruleostictus6210/19 sp.320.96Dentex congoensis58173Cynoglossus canariensis341.0591.24091.24010/19 sp.320.77Sardinella aurita391.24Penaeus notialis2227.7Sardinella aurita391.24Pentex angolensis381.14Total257677.1Total (all species)343	Lethrinus atlanticus	98	0.8	18				
Total 9467 81.2 Total (all species) 11657 Group 3 (9 stations) Group 6 (4 stations) Sparus caeruleostictus 569 17.0 9 Brachydeuterus auritus 771 23.1 6 Trachurus spp. 444 13.3 7 Raja miraletus 155 4.6 7 Priacanthus arenatus 124 3.7 7 Chlorophthalmus sp. 44 3.9 2 Epinephelus aeneus 81 2.4 6 Chlorophthalmus sp. 44 3.9 2 Sparus caeruleostictus 62 1.9 5 Paracubiceps multisquamis 31 2.9 2 Sparus caeruleostictus 62 1.9 5 Paracubiceps ledanoisi 32 3.0 1 Lepidotrigla cadmani 59 1.7 3 7 Total 970 92.0 Dentex congoensis 58 1.7 3 7 Total (all species) 1055 1055 1055 Dentex angolensis 38 1.1 4 4 4 </td <td>Raja miraletus</td> <td>66</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>23</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Raja miraletus	66	0.6	23				
Total 9467 81.2 Total (all species) 11657 Group 3 (9 stations) Group 6 (4 stations) Sparus caeruleostictus 569 17.0 9 Brachydeuterus auritus 771 23.1 6 Trachurus spp. 444 13.3 7 Raja miraletus 155 4.6 7 Priacanthus arenatus 124 3.7 7 Epinephelus aeneus 81 2.4 6 Priacanthus arenatus 124 3.7 7 Epinephelus aeneus 81 2.4 6 Priacanthus arenatus 124 3.7 7 Pseudupeneus prayensis 88 2.6 5 Sparus caeruleostictus 62 1.9 5 Paracubiceps ledanoisi 32 3.0 1 Loligo sp. 32 0.9 6 7 Dentex congoensis 58 1.7 3 7 Cynoglossus canariensis 34 1.0 5 Penaeus notialis 22 0.7 7 D								
Total (all species) 11637 Group 3 (9 stations) Group 6 (4 stations) Sparus caeruleostictus 569 17.0 9 Squalus fernandus 501 47.5 2 Brachydeuterus auritus 771 23.1 6 Hypoclydonia bella 116 11.0 2 Trachurus spp. 444 13.3 7 Centrophorus uyato 134 12.7 1 Raja miraletus 155 4.6 7 Paracubiceps multisquamis 44 4.2 3 Priacanthus arenatus 124 3.7 7 Chlorophthalmus sp. 41 3.9 2 Epinephelus aeneus 81 2.4 6 Chlorophthalmus sp. 41 3.9 2 Sparus caeruleostictus 62 1.9 5 Paracubiceps ledanoisi 32 3.0 1 Lepidotrigla cadmani 59 1.8 4 Total 970 92.0 7 Dentex congoensis 58 1.7 3 7 7 7 7 1 Sardinella aurita 39 1.2 4 <td>Total</td> <td>9467</td> <td>81.2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Total	9467	81.2					
Group 3 (9 stations) Group 6 (4 stations) Sparus caeruleostictus 569 17.0 9 Squalus fernandus 501 47.5 2 Brachydeuterus auritus 771 23.1 6 Hypoclydonia bella 116 11.0 2 Trachurus spp. 444 13.3 7 Centrophorus uyato 134 12.7 1 Raja miraletus 155 4.6 7 Paracubiceps multisquamis 44 4.2 3 Priacanthus arenatus 124 3.7 7 Chlorophthalmus sp. 41 3.9 2 Epinephelus aeneus 81 2.4 6 Chlorophthalmus atlanticus 71 6.7 1 Pseudupeneus prayensis 88 2.6 5 Carcharhinus signatus 31 2.9 2 Sparus caeruleostictus 62 1.9 5 Paracubiceps ledanoisi 32 3.0 1 Lepidotrigla cadmani 59 1.8 4 Total 970 92.0 7 Dentex congoensis 58 1.7 3 7 7 5	Total (all species)	11057						
Sparus caeruleostictus 569 17.0 9 Squalus fernandus 501 47.5 2 Brachydeuterus auritus 771 23.1 6 Hypoclydonia bella 116 11.0 2 Trachurus spp. 444 13.3 7 Centrophorus uyato 134 12.7 1 Raja miraletus 155 4.6 7 Paracubiceps multisquamis 44 4.2 3 Priacanthus arenatus 124 3.7 7 Chlorophthalmus sp. 41 3.9 2 Epinephelus aeneus 81 2.4 6 Chlorophthalmus atlanticus 71 6.7 1 Pseudupeneus prayensis 88 2.6 5 Carcharhinus signatus 31 2.9 2 Sparus caeruleostictus 62 1.9 5 Paracubiceps ledanoisi 32 3.0 1 Lepidotrigla cadmani 59 1.8 4	Group 3 (9 stations)				Group 6 (4 stations)			
Brachydeuterus auntus 771 23.1 6 Hypochydonia bella 116 11.0 2 Trachurus spp. 444 13.3 7 Centrophorus uyato 134 12.7 1 Raja miraletus 155 4.6 7 Paracubiceps multisquamis 44 4.2 3 Priacanthus arenatus 124 3.7 7 Chlorophthalmus sp. 41 3.9 2 Epinephelus aeneus 81 2.4 6 Chlorophthalmus sp. 41 3.9 2 Sparus caeruleostictus 62 1.9 5 Carcharhinus signatus 31 2.9 2 Loligo sp. 32 0.9 6 Total 970 92.0 1055 Penaeus notialis 22 0.7 7 5 Sardinella aurita 39 1.2 4 105 1055	Sparus caeruleostictus	569	17.0	9	Squalus fernandus	501	47.5	2
Inachurus Spp.44413.3rImage: Centrophorus uyato13412.r1Raja miraletus1554.67Paracubiceps multisquamis444.23Priacanthus arenatus1243.77Chlorophthalmus sp.413.92Epinephelus aeneus812.46Chlorophthalmus sp.413.92Sparus caeruleostictus621.95Carcharhinus signatus312.92Sparus caeruleostictus621.95Paracubiceps ledanoisi323.01Lepidotrigla cadmani591.84Total97092.01Loligo sp.320.96Total97092.01055Dentex congoensis581.737Total10551055Penaeus notialis220.77710110551055Total257677.1444100105Total257677.1141001051055Total257677.1141100100Total257677.1141100100Chall species33431.01111Total257677.114111Total257677.11411110010010010010	Brachydeuterus auritus	<i>† †</i> 1	23.1	6	Hypociydonia bella	110	11.0	2
Nala inflateus1534.07Francultus function of prime	Paia miralotus	444	13.3	7	Derecubicons multisque	134 nic 11	12.7	3
Epinephelus aeneus812.46Chlorophthalmus sp.415.32Epinephelus aeneus812.46Chlorophthalmus sp.415.71Pseudupeneus prayensis882.65Chlorophthalmus signatus312.92Sparus caeruleostictus621.95Paracubiceps ledanoisi323.01Lepidotrigla cadmani591.84Total97092.01Loligo sp.320.96105510551055Dentex congoensis581.73111Cynoglossus canariensis341.0510551Penaeus notialis220.77711Sardinella aurita391.24111Total257677.171111Total (all species)33433431111	Priacanthus aronatus	124	4.0	7	Chlorophthalmus sp	1115 44 /1	3.0	2
Definition of the latenciesStrik<	Eninephelus aeneus	81	2.4	6	Chlorophthalmus atlanti	cus 71	67	1
Sparus caeruleostictus621.95Paracubiceps ledanoisi323.01Lepidotrigla cadmani591.84Total97092.01Loligo sp.320.96Total97092.01Dentex congoensis581.73105510551055Penaeus notialis220.777105510551055Sardinella aurita391.2410105510551055Total257677.1771055105510551055Total (all species)33431.01055105510551055	Pseudupeneus pravensis	88	2.6	5	Carcharhinus signatus	31	2.9	2
Lepidotrigla cadmani 59 1.8 4 Total 970 92.0 Loligo sp. 32 0.9 6 Total 1055 Dentex congoensis 58 1.7 3 1055 Cynoglossus canariensis 34 1.0 5 Penaeus notialis 22 0.7 7 Sardinella aurita 39 1.2 4 Dentex angolensis 38 1.1 4 Total 2576 77.1 Total (all species) 3343	Sparus caeruleostictus	62	1.9	5	Paracubiceps ledanoisi	32	3.0	1
Loligo sp. 32 0.9 6 From 57.6 92.0 Dentex congoensis 58 1.7 3 Total (all species) 1055 Dentex congoensis 34 1.0 5 5 1055 Penaeus notialis 22 0.7 7 5 3 1.1 4 Total 2576 77.1 7 7 7 7 7 Total (all species) 3343 343 1.1 4 10 10	Lepidotrigla cadmani	59	1.8	4	Total	070	92.0	
Dentex congoensis581.73Cynoglossus canariensis341.05Penaeus notialis220.77Sardinella aurita391.24Dentex angolensis381.14Total257677.1Total (all species)3343	Loligo sp.	32	0.9	6	Total (all species)	1055	54.0	
	Dentex congoensis	58	1.7	3	i otai (all species)	1033		
Penaeus notialis220.77Sardinella aurita391.24Dentex angolensis381.14Total257677.1Total (all species)3343	Cynoglossus canariensis	34	1.0	5				
Sardinella aurita391.24Dentex angolensis381.14Total257677.1Total (all species)3343	Penaeus notialis	22	0.7	7				
Dentex angolensis381.14Total257677.1Total (all species)3343	Sardinella aurita	39	1.2	4				
Total 2576 77.1 Total (all species) 3343	Dentex angolensis	38	1.1	4				
Total (all species) 3343	Total	2576	77.1					
	Total (all species)	3343						

surveys, the axes are scaled between -1 and 1. The biplot gives an indication of the environmental parameters that separate the groups, and characteristics of each group are also indicated in Table 2. For example, Group 1 is a shallow water assemblage on soft bottom, Group 2 is also in shallow waters but on hard bottom, Groups 3 and 4 (at mid depths) are separated mainly by bottom type (3 towards soft and 4 towards mixed bottom) and Groups 5 and 6 are separated from the others mainly by depth. A dendrogram showing the order of grouping and relationships between the 6 GTS groups is given in Fig. 3.

The list of species with IRI value ≥ 50 in each of the 6 groups identified from the GTS data is given in Table 3. In this table, W is total weight caught in the survey, %W is percentage of total weight contributed by a particular species and F is the number of hauls in which the species was caught throughout the survey. The species listed form 77 to 92% of the total catch in each group. As species were not placed exclusively in one group or the other, a number of species occur in >1 group. The occurrence of the bigeye grunt *Brachy-deuterus auritus* and the sparid *Sparus caeruleostictus* in all 3 groups in shallow water is noticeable. Groups 5 and 6 share only a few species with the other groups.

Table 4 gives the list of the most important species found in each of the 2 main seasons (upwelling, stratified). With the GTS data, only hauls in depth <75 m were included in this analysis. In the tables, the names in bold are for species found in both seasons ('resident' species). The species above these are present mainly during the upwelling period and those below are present mainly during the stratified period. In the third group, *Brachydeuterus auritus* was always found only during the stratified period. This is one of the species described by Longhurst (1969) as eurybathic.

Calculated values of the Jaccard Index and Similarity Ratio are presented in Tables 5 & 6 respectively. These were computed for both upwelling and stratified seasons. Figures above shaded diagonal are for the upwelling period, and those below are for the stratified period.

DISCUSSION

From the GTS data the 6 groups identified in this work correspond to the following assemblages (named by Longhurst 1969):

Group 1: Sciaenid; Group 2: Lutjanid; Group 3: Sparid (shallow part); Group 4: Sparid (deep part); Group 5: Deep shelf; Group 6: Upper slope.

The group of species referred to as eurybathic or thermocline species by Longhurst (1969) is not isolated

Fig. 3. Dendrogram showing clustering order of groups of stations for Guinean Trawling Survey data analysed with TWINSPAN

in this work; these are generally included in the second assemblage. Also, the estuarine sciaenid community described by Longhurst (1969) is not represented in these results considering the range of depths (especially the minimum depth) covered in the surveys used in this study.

The first pair of assemblages (sciaenid and lutjanid) is found in waters shallower than 40 m (Table 1). This is less than the average depth of the thermocline off Ghana, which is ~41 m (Koranteng 1998). The second pair is in 40 to 100 m depth and the last pair in waters deeper than 100 m. The 2 sparid communities (Groups 3 and 4) originate from within the thermocline depth and stretch seaward into deeper waters and the deep shelf and upper slope assemblages lie well below the thermocline layer.

For the GTS data (Table 2), the significantly high correlation between the first DCA axis and depth, bottom temperature, bottom salinity and bottom dissolved oxygen, and also between sediment type and the second axis, the importance of these variables in the determination of the structure of demersal species assemblages in the study area is shown. Depth appears to be the most important variable in the ordination, followed by bottom temperature, salinity and dissolved oxygen. These physico-chemical variables are themselves closely related to depth in the oceans and usually change by seasons. Thus the upwelling, which appears to change the properties of water masses, may also have an effect on the structure of demersal species assemblages.

Sediment type then follows in importance, being highly significant (p < 0.01) on the second DCA axis (Table 2). This shows the importance of this feature, which, like depth, is invariant with time, at least within the time frame considered.



Table 4. Seasonal membership of assemblages; species listed in **bold** are 'resident' species found in both seasons; those above were found in the upwelling season only; those below were found in the stratified season only

Group 1	Group 2	Group 3
GTS (only stations of depth 75 m or less)		
Drepane africana	Decapterus spp.	Dentex gibbosus
Epenephelus aeneus	Fistularia villosa	Raja miraletus
llisha africana	Lutjanus dentatus	Sardinella aurita
Loligo sp.	Pomadasys incisus	Boops boops
Pomadasys jubelini	Lutjanus dentatus	Scomber japonicus
Pseudotolithus brachygnathus	Trachinocephalus myops	V 1
Pseudotolithus typus	Acanthurus monroviae	Sparus caeruleostictus
Sparus caeruleostictus	Balistes forcipatus	Priacanthus arenatus
1	Loligo sp.	Epenephelus aeneus
Brachydeuterus auritus	Lutianus agennes	Pagellus bellottii
Galeoides decadactilus	Lutianus fulgens	Dentex congoensis
Pagellus bellottii	Raia miraletus	Trachurus sp.
Raja miraletus	Scomber japonicus	Pseudupeneus pravensis
Trichiurus lenturus	Turtles	Dentex angolensis
Pseudotolithus senegalensis	i di tiob	Dentex ungerensis
Diaroscion neli	Brachydoutorus auritus	Brachydeuterus auritus
reconting per	Dactylonterus volitans	Snhvraena sn
Sphuraona sp	Dation conorioneis	Ponthoroscion mhigi
орнунаена эр.	Enononholus zonous	Sardinella madoronsis
	Dagallus ballottii	Salumena maderensis
	Prizeanthus aronatus	
	Security as a security of the second section of the second se	
	Sparus caeruieosticius	
	Tracnurus sp.	
	Sardinella aurita	
MFRD3 1981-82		
Decapterus rhonchus	Balistes capriscus	Acanthurus monroviae
Pagellus bellottii	Boops boops	Boops boops
Pomadasys incisus	Brachydeuterus auritus	Chaetodon luciae
Priacanthus arenatus	Chromis lineatus	Dactylopterus volitans
Pseudupeneus prayensis	Dentex angolensis	Distodon speciosus
Sepia sp.	Dentex congoensis	Fistularia villosa
	Dentex gibbosus	Lutjanus fulgens
Balistes capriscus	Paracubiceps ledanoisi	Lutjanus goreensis
Brachydeuterus auritus	Rhizoprionodon acutus	Rhizoprionodon acutus
Chloroscombrus chrysurus	Trachurus sp.	Trigla sp.
Dentex canariensis	Umbrina canariensis	
Epenephelus aeneus		Balistes capriscus
Galeoides decadactylus	Dactylopterus volitans	Dentex canariensis
Selene dorsalis	Dentex canariensis	Dentex gibbosus
Sparus caeruleostictus	Epenephelus aeneus	Epenephelus aeneus
-	Fistularia villosa	Pagellus bellottii
Elops senegalensis	Pagellus bellottii	Priacanthus arenatus
Engraulis encrasicolus	Priacanthus arenatus	Pseudupeneus prayensis
Ilisha africana	Pseudupeneus pravensis	Sepia sp.
Pseudotolithus senegalensis	Sparus caeruleostictus	Sparus caeruleostictus
Pseudotolithus sp.	Acanthostracion guineensis	
Pteroscion peli	Acanthurus monroviae	Brachydeuterus auritus
Scyacium micrurum	Balistes forcipatus	Chloroscombrus chrysurus
Sphvraena sphvraena	Chaetodon sp.	Chromis lineatus
	Chloroscombrus chrysurus	Decapterus rhonchus
	Decanterus sp	Lepidotriala sp
	Larocenhalus laevigatus	Pomadasve incisus
	Lethrinus atlanticus	Raja miralotus
	Lutianus fulgons	Sardinalla aurita
	Luijanus ruigens	Sarumend duritd
	Scuacium micrurum	Solono dorcalia
	Scyacium micrurum	Selene dorsalis

Table 4 (continued)

Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	
MFRD5 1989			
Lagocephalus laevigatus	Acanthurus monroviae	Anthias anthias	
Pagellus bellottii	Boops boops	Boops boops	
Pentheroscion mbizi	Decapterus rhonchus	Chromis sp.	
Pomadasys incisus	Pomadasys incisus	Decapterus sp.	
Priacanthus arenatus	Trachurus sp.	Dentex canariensis	
Pteroscion peli	Trachurus trecae	Dentex gibbosus	
Rhizoprionodon acutus		Sardinella aurita	
Trachinocephalus myops	Balistes forcipatus	Scomber japonicus	
Trachurus trecae	Brachydeuterus auritus		
	Chloroscombrus chrysurus	Dactylopterus volitans	
Brachydeuterus auritus	Dentex canariensis	Dentex congoensis	
Galeoides decadactilus	Fistularia villosa	Epenephelus aeneus	
Penaeus notialis	Lagocephalus laevigatus	Fistularia villosa	
Pomadasys jubelini	Lutjanus fulgens	Pagellus bellottii	
Pseudupeneus prayensis	Pagellus bellottii	Pseudupeneus prayensis	
Sepia officinalis	Priacanthus arenatus	Rhizoprionodon acutus	
Selene dorsalis	Pseudupeneus prayensis	Sparus caeruleostictus	
Sparus caeruleostictus	Sepia officinalis	Trichiurus lepturus	
-	Sparus caeruleostictus	Balistes forcipatus	
Chilomycterus spinosus		Brachydeuterus auritus	
Chloroscombrus chrysurus	Apsilus fuscus	Chloroscombrus chrysurus	
<i>Dasyatis</i> sp.	Balistes capriscus	Lagocephalus laevigatus	
Dentex canariensis	Chaetodon sp.	Lepidotrigla sp.	
Drepane africana	Chromis lineatus	Priacanthus arenatus	
Elops senegalensis	Dactylopterus volitans	Raja miraletus	
Epenephelus aeneus	Decapterus sp.	Scyacium micrurum	
Eucinostomus melanopterus	Epinephelus aeneus	Sepia officinalis	
Grammoplites gruveli	Lethrinus atlanticus	Selene dorsalis	
Lutjanus fulgens	Sphyraena sphyraena	Serranus accraensis	
Sardinella maderensis	<i>Trigla</i> sp.	Sphyraena sphyraena	
Sphyraena sphyraena		Trachurus sp.	
<i>Torpedo</i> sp.		<i>Umbrina</i> sp.	

In the MFRD surveys, sediment type appears to be the most important factor determining assemblage structure. All levels of this variable are highly significant on the first DCA axis (Table 2). The second axis is dominated by depth and then temperature, dissolved oxygen and salinity. These results are rather different from the GTS results, which seems to imply that with a wide depth range, as was the case in the GTS, sediment type becomes secondary to depth as the principal factor influencing assemblage structure. From these data sets, it appears that when the depth range is not wide, then the most important factor affecting community structure is the type of sediment on the seabed, relegating depth to a secondary position. This appears to be a fractal problem whereby, on some gradients, the grain size could be an important factor in assemblage formation (e.g. Mahon et al. 1984).

From the plot of DCA axis 1 versus DCA axis 2 (Fig. 2), it appears that the first 2 species assemblages derived from the GTS data are separated mainly by sediment type—the first on soft bottom and the sec-

Table 5. Jaccard's index of similarity between pairs of assemblages for the same survey. *Italics*: upwelling period; **bold**: stratified period

dn	GTS			MFRD3			MFRD5		
Gro	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
1	1	0.27	0.35	1	0.45	0.37	1	0.43	0.33
2	0.45	1	0.35	0.36	1	0.35	0.45	1	0.37
3	0.40	0.41	1	0.36	0.46	1	0.39	0.46	1

Table 6. Within-survey Similarity Ratios among the 3 assemblages. *Italics*: upwelling period; **bold**: stratified period

dn	GTS			MFRD3			MFRD5		
Gro	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
1	1	0.09	0.01	1	0.17	0.10	1	0.20	0.06
2	0.18	1	0.23	0.16	1	0.10	0.60	1	0.12
3	0.01	0.28	1	0.30	0.07	1	0.01	0.04	1

ond on hard bottom. Assemblages 3 and 4 are separated by both sediment type and depth. The last 2 assemblages are separated from the others mainly by depth. Consequently, it may be sufficient to regard depth and bottom sediment type as the principal forcing factors determining the structure of fish assemblages on the continental shelf and upper slope off Ghana. As temperature and dissolved oxygen also then become important on the second axis in the case of short depth range, it appears, therefore, that these physico-chemical parameters are important in the dynamics of the assemblages.

The information on the site-environmental variables bi-plots (Fig. 2) correspond with the habitat preferences of various species in the assemblages as described by Longhurst (1969), Williams (1968), Blache et al. (1970) and Schneider (1990).

The results obtained in this study, in one way or the other, corroborate those of similar studies undertaken elsewhere. For example, it has been shown by several authors (including Fager & Longhurst 1968, Mahon et al. 1984, Yáñez-Arancibia et al. 1985, Bianchi 1992) that depth is the most important gradient along which faunal changes occur. Working on the entire GTS data collected from Guinea Bissau to Congo, Fager & Longhurst (1968) attributed assemblage boundaries in the Gulf of Guinea to thermal discontinuity and sediment type, the latter of which also changes with depth.

The analyses carried out in this work on temporal and spatial patterns of community structure using the first 3 assemblages and the 3 surveys, only shows subtle seasonal and temporal differences in assemblage structure. The calculated values of the Jaccard Index and Similarity Ratio (Tables 5 & 6) indicate that closest resemblance was found for assemblages 2 and 3 during the upwelling season and assemblages 1 and 2 in the stratified season. The first situation could be due to fishes in assemblage 3 moving closer inshore during the upwelling and the second perhaps due to assemblage 1 fishes moving away from shallow areas during the warm season (Koranteng 1998). It could also be due to seasonal inshore-offshore movement of fishes in assemblage 2.

In general, the properties of the derived assemblages in the MFRD surveys are quite similar to each other and different from the GTS. This is true in both the upwelling and stratified periods. Differences in assemblage structure have been attributed to a differential response to changes in environmental forcing factors (Gulland & Garcia 1984, Overholtz & Tyler 1985, Macpherson & Gordoa 1992). Thus, the differences may be as a consequence of the environmental perturbations observed during the climatic periods. For example, Gulland & Garcia (1984) noted that the Sparid assemblage has affinity for the low temperature, high salinity environment that prevailed in the Gulf of Guinea during the second climatic period (1972 to 1982).

Differences in the assemblages during the period in question could also be a consequence of the proliferation of triggerfish *Balistes capriscus*, a species of the Sparid assemblage, and associated ecological changes in the Gulf of Guinea between 1972 and 1988 (Ansa-Emmin 1979, Koranteng 1998). Koranteng (1998) showed that the increased abundance of triggerfish also destabilised the shallow water assemblages, especially the Lutjanid and the shallow part of the Sparid.

Changes in the pattern and intensity of fishing could well induce changes in the structure of demersal assemblages (Brown et al. 1976, Overholtz & Tyler 1985). GTS was conducted 17 yr before MFRD3 and at a time when commercial trawling on the continental shelf of Ghana and neighbouring countries was much less intense than was the case at the time of the MFRD surveys. For example, the number of days fished by large industrial trawlers in Ghana's waters increased from 500 d in 1974 to 5500 d in 1990 (Koranteng 1998). This increase in fishing effort was partly due to the deployment of Ghanaian-registered vessels in home waters, as several countries declared 200 n mile of exclusive economic zone in accordance with the Third United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea.

It appears that natural and anthropogenic factors, conjointly or singly, affected the nature of species assemblages in Ghana's coastal waters. It is acknowledged, however, that it is often difficult, if not impossible, to separate natural fluctuations from those caused by anthropogenic factors such as exploitation (Cushing 1980, Sissenwine et al. 1982).

CONCLUSION

The analyses of community structure identified 6 species assemblages on the continental shelf and upper slope off Ghana. The first 2, namely the Sciaenid and Lutjanid assemblages, are predominantly supra-thermocline whilst the 2 Sparid assemblages begin at the thermocline depth (~40 m) and extend offshore. The last 2 (deep shelf and upper slope) assemblages occur well below the thermocline.

The Sciaenid community is associated with the soft, muddy substrate found in shallow waters generally <40 m deep, and is made up mainly of species of the *Pseudotolithus* and *Galeoides* genera. Lying beyond this belt is a wide area of mixed-to-hard bottom, with which the Lutjanid and the Sparid assemblages (shallow and deep parts) are associated. There are clear faunal discontinuities around 30–40, 100 and 200 m depth. The first ecotone is closely related to bottom depth and the presence and depth of the thermocline, the second to a steep shelf drop, and the third to significant division between shelf and slope assemblages.

The structure of the assemblages is determined primarily by depth and sediment type, the latter being more important when considering a restricted depth gradient, as in the MFRD surveys. The dynamics of the assemblages, including seasonal movements of component species, are influenced by physico-chemical properties of the water masses (mainly temperature, salinity and dissolved oxygen). Therefore, the seasonal coastal upwelling that occurs in the western Gulf of Guinea and which changes the characteristics of the water masses on the continental shelf, would have an effect on the dynamics of the species assemblages.

The observed change in assemblage structure may also be due to increased industrial trawling and proliferation of triggerfish *Balistes capriscus* in Ghana's continental shelf waters. However, it is difficult from this study, to separate fluctuations due to long-term environmental changes from those caused by anthropogenic factors such as fishing.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Professor Jacqueline McGlade, Dr Gabriella Bianchi and the reviewers for their constructive criticism and comments that have undoubtedly improved this paper.

LITERATURE CITED

- Ansa-Emmim M (1979) Occurrence of the triggerfish, Balistes capriscus (Gmel), on the continental shelf of Ghana. In: Report of the special working group on the evaluation of demersal stocks of the Ivory Coast—Zaire sector. CEC-AF/ECAF SERIES/79/14(En), FAO, Rome, p 20–27
- Bianchi G (1992) Demersal Assemblages of tropical continental shelves. A study based on the data collected through the surveys of the RV 'Dr Fridtjof Nansen'. Dr Scient thesis, University of Bergen
- Bianchi G, Hoisæter T (1992) The relative merits of using numbers and biomass in fish community studies. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 85:25–33
- Binet D, Marchal E (1993) The large marine ecosystem of the shelf areas in the Gulf of Guinea: long-term variability induced by climatic changes. In: Sherman K, Alexander LM, Gold B (eds) Large marine ecosystems—stress, mitigation and sustainability. American Association for the Advancement of Science, Washington, p 104–118
- Blaber SJM, Brewer DT, Harris AN (1994) Distribution, biomass and community structure of demersal fishes of the Gulf of Carpentaria, Australia. Aust J Mar Freshw Res 45: 375–96
- Blache J, Cadenat J, Stauch A (1970) Clés de détermination des poissoins de mer signalés dans l'atlantique oriental entre le 20° parallele nord et le 15° parallele sud. Faune Tropicale XVIII, ORSTOM Editions, Paris
- Brown BE, Brennan JA, Grosslein MD, Heyerdahl EG, Hennemuth RC (1976) The effect of fishing on the marine finfish biomass in the Northwest Atlantic from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Haterras. Int Comm Northwest Atl Fish Res Bull 12:49–68

- Caddy JF, Sharp GD (1986) An ecological framework for marine fisheries investigations. FAO Fish Tech Pap 283, FAO, Rome
- Caverivière A (1991) L'explosion démographique du baliste (*Balistes carolinensis*) en Afrique de l'ouest et son évolution en relation avec les tendances climatiques. In: Cury P, Roy C (eds) Variabilité, instabilité et changement dans les pêcheries ouest africaines. ORSTOM Editions, Paris, p 354–367
- Cushing DH (1980) The decline of the herring stocks and the gadoid outburst. J Cons Int Explor Mer 39:70–81
- Fager EW, Longhurst AR (1968) Recurrent group analysis of species assemblages of demersal fish in the Gulf of Guinea. J Fish Res Board Can 25(7):1405–1421
- Fischer WG, Bianchi G, Scott WB (1981) FAO species identification sheets for fishery purposes. Eastern Central Atlantic fishing areas 34, 47 (in part), 7 vols., FAO, Rome
- FishBase (1998) FishBase 98 CD-ROM. International Centre for Living Aquatic Resources Management (ICLARM), Manila
- Gauch HG Jr (1994) Multivariate analysis in community ecology. Cambridge Studies in Ecology, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Gulland JA, Garcia S (1984) Observed patterns in multispecies fisheries. In: May RM (ed) Exploitation of marine communities: a report of the Dahlem workshop on exploitation of marine communities, 1–6 April 1984, Berlin. Springer, Berlin, p 155–190
- Hill MO (1979) TWINSPAN. A FORTRAN program for arranging multivariate data in an ordered two-way table by classification of the individuals and attributes. Cornell University, Ithaca
- Hill MO, Gauch HG (1980) Detrended correspondence analysis: an improved ordination technique. Vegetatio 42:47–58
- Koranteng KA (1984) A trawling survey off Ghana, CECAF/ TECH/84/63. Dakar, Senegal. CECAF Project (FAO), Dakar, Senegal
- Koranteng KA (1998) The impacts of environmental forcing on the dynamics of demersal fishery resources of Ghana. PhD thesis, University of Warwick
- Longhurst AR (1969) Species assemblages in tropical demersal fisheries. In: Proceedings of the symposium on the oceanography and fisheries resources of the tropical Atlantic. Results of ICITA and GTS, 20–28 October 1966, Abidjan, Ivory Coast. UNESCO, Paris, p 147–168
- Macpherson E, Gordoa A (1992) Trends in the demersal fish community off Namibia from 1983 to 1990. S Afr J Mar Sci 12:635–649
- Mahon RR (1985) Groundfish assemblages on the Scotian shelf. In: Mahon RR (ed) Towards the inclusion of fishery interactions in management advice. Can Tech Rep Fish Aquat Sci 1347:153–162
- Mahon RR, Smith W, Bernstein BB, Scott JS (1984) Spatial and temporal patterns of groundfish distribution on the Scotian shelf and in the Bay of Fundy, 1970–1981. Can Tech Rep Fish Aquat Sci 1300
- Mensah MA, Koranteng KA (1988) A review of the oceanography and fisheries resources in the coastal waters of Ghana. Marine Fisheries Research Report No. 8, Fisheries Research & Utilization Branch, Tema
- Overholtz WJ, Tyler AV (1985) Long-term response of the demersal fish assemblages of Georges Bank. Fish Bull 83(4):507–520
- Oviatt CA, Nixon SW (1973) The demersal fish of Narragansett Bay: an analysis of community structure, distribution and abundance. Estuar Coast Mar Sci 1:361–378
- Pezennec O (1995) Ecological importance of the Ivorian and

Ghanaian minor upwelling season. In: Bard FX, Koranteng KA (eds) Dynamics and use of Sardinella resources from upwelling off Ghana and Ivory Coast. ORSTOM Editions, Paris, p 324–345

- Pinkas L, Oliphant MS, Iverson ILK (1971) Food habits of albacore, bluefin tuna and bonito in California waters. Fish Bull Calif Dep Fish Game 152:1–105
- Ramos AR, Yraola IS, Peralta LF, Jimenez JFG (1990) Informe de la Campana Guinea 90. Instituto Español de Oceanografia, Malaga
- Rijavec L (1980) A survey of the demersal fish resources of Ghana. CECAF/TECH/80/25, CECAF Project (FAO), Dakar, Senegal
- Schneider W (1990) Field guide to the commercial marine resources of the Gulf of Guinea. FAO species identification sheets for fishery purposes. RAFR/F1/90/2, FAO, Rome
- Sherman K (1993) Large marine ecosystems as global units for marine resources management—an ecological perspective. In: Sherman K, Alexander LM, Gold B (eds) Large marine ecosystems—stress, mitigation and sustainability. American Association for the Advancement of Science, Washington, p 3–14
- Sissenwine MP, Brown BE, Palmer JE, Esig RG, Smith S (1982) Empirical examination of population interactions for the fishery resources off the Northeast USA. In: Mercer MC (ed) Multispecies approaches to fisheries management advice. Can Spec Publ Fish Aquat Sci 59:82–94

Editorial responsibility: Otto Kinne (Editor), Oldendorf/Luhe, Germany Smilauer P (1992) CANODRAW. Microcomputer Power, Ithaca

- Southwood TRE (1988) The concept and nature of the community. In: Gee JHR, Giller PS (eds) Organization of communities: past and present. Blackwell Science, Oxford
- Stromme T (1992) NAN-SIS. Software for fisheries survey data logging and analysis. User's manual. FAO Computerized Information Series (Fisheries) 4. FAO, Rome
- ter Braak CJF (1991) CANOCO—a FORTRAN program for canonical community ordination by [partial] [detrended] [canonical] correspondence analysis, principal component analysis and redundancy analysis (version 3.10). ITI-TNO, Wageningen
- Tyler AV, Gabriel WL, Overholtz WJ (1982) Adaptive management based on structure of fish assemblages of northern continental shelves. In: Mercer MC (ed) Multispecies approaches to fisheries management advice. Can Spec Publ Fish Aquat Sci 59:149–156
- van Tongeren OFR (1995) Cluster analysis. In: Jongman RHG, ter Braak CJF, van Tongeren OFR (eds) Data analysis in community and landscape ecology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p 174–212
- Williams F (1968) Report on the Guinean Trawling Survey. Org Afr Unity Sci Tech Res Comm 99, OAU/STRC, Lagos
- Yáñez-Arancibia A, Sanchez-Gil P, Tapia Garcia M, Garcia-Abad M de la C (1985) Ecology, community structure and evaluation of tropical demersal fishes in the southern Gulf of Mexico, Cahiers de Biologie Marine, Tome XXVI: 137–163

Submitted: May 30, 2000; Accepted: February 20, 2001 Proofs received from author(s): September 7, 2001